



Prevalence and Beliefs Regarding Eating Disorders among General Population of Pakistan

¹Madeeha Malik, ²Azhar Hussain, ³Rana Hassaan Bin Tariq and ¹Ayisha Hashmi

ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Eating disorders are common mental conditions affecting the psychosocial and physical functioning of individuals. The main aim of the present study was to assess the prevalence and beliefs of eating disorders among the general population regarding eating disorders in Pakistan. **Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional study design was used to screen and assess beliefs regarding eating disorders. A prevalidated questionnaire Eating Disorders Diagnostic Scale was used for screening eating disorders. The beliefs of respondents were assessed using the Eating Disorder Belief Questionnaire. Three hundred and 82 respondents were selected using the convenience sampling technique. After data collection, data was analyzed statistically in SPSS version 22. **Results:** The results of the study showed that out of 200 respondents, 17% (n = 34) had the probability of having an eating disorder. The 12% (n = 24) of respondents were afraid that they might gain weight and 6.5% (n = 13) believed that their weight influenced how they thought about themselves. The 1.5% (n = 3) of the respondents faced severe issues in mobility, 1% (n = 2) had issues with self-care, 2% (n = 4) had issues in usual activity, 1% (n = 2) faced pain/discomfort and 4.5% (n = 9) had anxiety/depression. **Conclusion:** A moderate prevalence of eating disorders and obesity in the Pakistani population. Perceptions of the community about their weight and shape were negative.

KEYWORDS

Eating disorders, eating behaviors, screening, quality of life, perceptions, beliefs

Copyright © 2023 Malik et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

ISSN: 2663-4988 (Print)

https://doi.org/10.3923/ajer.2023.35.41

Eating disorders are relatively common mental disorders affecting the psychosocial and physical functioning of individuals. These disorders not only compromise the quality of life but also contribute towards the increased mortality rate due to mental illnesses in the community¹. These disorders create a significant impact on the physical, psychological and social health of individuals. Globally approximately 70 million people suffer from an eating disorder. Severe forms of eating disorders not only lead to physical, psychological and social distress but also result in depression Studies have shown that individuals are prone towards developing eating disorders regardless of their age, gender or ethnicity².



¹Cyntax Health Projects, Contract Research Organization and Corporate Firm, Islamabad, Pakistan

²Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Pak-Austria Fachhochschule, Institute of Applied Sciences and Technology, Haripur, Pakistan

³Hamdard Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hamdard University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan

Asian J. Emerging Res., 5 (1): 35-41, 2023

Eating disorders can cause major complications in the cardiovascular system, Endocrine system, gastrointestinal system and neurological system causing issues like sleep disturbances, menstrual irregularities and sleep apnea, decreased bone density, electrolyte imbalance and cardiac irregularities. Complications of eating disorders have been reported as potentially irreversible and life-threatening at times³.

Individuals with eating disorders usually present with disordered eating habits. Sociocultural and family factors, low self-esteem and body dissatisfaction along with cognitive and biological factors lead towards the development of eating disorders. Therefore, early detection and screening of eating disorders can contribute towards the reduction of the burden of disease due to eating disorders. A study from Iran reported a high prevalence of eating disorders among boys⁴. Moreover, females were found at a higher risk of developing eating disorders than male medical students in Karachi, Pakistan⁵. Higher lifetime prevalence was found among females as compared to males with a prevalence of Anorexia estimated to be 0.9% and Bulimia Nervosa to be 0.5%⁶. Eating disorders are associated with impaired quality of life than other mental health conditions. A study from Spain concluded that quality of life of patients suffering from anorexia nervosa was affected the most. Disease-specific QoL in patients with eating disorders improved after 1 year of treatment, though it was not like the general population⁷.

The prevalence and incidence of eating disorders are increasing day by day and millions of individuals are suffering from this disease. Poor eating habits, socio-cultural variables, economic status and common misconceptions are leading risk factors for these disorders. These disorders are further linked with the development of mental disorders like depression and anxiety which leads to life-threatening consequences. Some of the eating disorders lead to obesity which is itself a global epidemic. Lack of screening programs, inadequate standard practices and poor awareness of eating disorders are not only delaying the diagnosis but are also compromising the quality of life of the people. Pakistan ranks 9th out of 188 countries in terms of obesity, so this shows an increased risk of development of eating disorders in the Pakistani population. Eating disorders are linked with social taboos which need to be addressed in Pakistan. There is a dire need to screen the community and assess the beliefs of the community regarding eating disorders. Therefore, the current research was conducted to assess the prevalence and beliefs of eating disorders among the general population in Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area: The study was conducted from January, 2021-April, 2021. The study was conducted in Islamabad and Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

Ethics: Approval to conduct the current research was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Hamdard University (Ref. No. HU/DRA/2021/354). Besides this approval, consent was also taken from the respondents and their confidentiality of information was also assured.

Study design: A descriptive cross-sectional study design was used to screen for eating disorders and assess beliefs of eating disorders among the general population. Three hundred and eighty-two respondents were selected using convenience sampling technique.

Data collection tools: A pre-validated tool Eating Disorders Diagnostic Scale questionnaire¹⁰ was used for screening eating disorders. The questionnaire is comprised of twenty-two questions designed to screen eating disorders like binge eating disorder, anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. The belief of respondents was checked using eating disorder belief questionnaire¹¹. The tool consists of 32 questions with were divided into four categories to evaluate the negative self-belief, acceptance by others, self-acceptance and belief regarding control over eating. A scoring range of 0 to 100 was provided to the

respondent where 100 means the strongest belief one can imagine. Pilot testing was conducted on 10% of the sample to check the reliability of all tools. The cronbach alpha was found to be 0.72 for the eating disorder diagnostic scale and 0.73 for the eating disorder belief questionnaire.

Statistical analysis: After data collection, data was cleaned, coded and entered in SPSS version 22 and analyzed. Descriptive statistics comprising frequency and percentages were calculated. The significance level was p<0.05.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of respondents: Out of 382 respondents, 64% (n = 245) were males and 36% (n = 137) were females. Most of the respondents were in the age group 26 to 35 years 50% (n = 191). Regarding qualification, 43% (n = 164) had bachelor's degree while 19% (n = 73) had a master's degree. Out of 382 respondents, 28% (n = 107) were pharmacists, 9% (n = 34) were teachers, 2% (n = 7) were engineers and 2% (n = 7) were doctors. Among the total respondents 13% (n = 50) belonged to rural areas and 87% (n = 332) were residents of urban area (Table 1).

Gender 245 (64.0) Male 245 (64.0) Female 137 (66.0) Age 141 (37.0) 18-25 12 (6.0) 36-45 23 (6.0) 46-55 12 (3.0) 56-65 12 (3.0) Marital status 138 (86.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (1.0) Education 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (4.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 7 (2.0) Occupational status 7 (2.0) Employed 25 (67.0) Me, ph.1 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 25 (67.0) Me, ph.1 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7 (2.0) Cocupational status 7 (2.0) Employed 25 (67.0) Me, ph.1 69 (18.0) Shudent 38 (10.0) Retired 3 (2.0) Shudent 3 (2.0) 18 (0.0) 3 (2.0)	Indicator	n (%)
Female 137 (36.0) Age 141 (37.0) 26-35 191 (50.0) 36-45 22 (3.6) 46-55 12 (3.0) 5-66 15 (40.0) Marital status 180 Single 241 (33.0) Married 138 (36.0) Chuers (divorced, widowed) 3 (1.0) Education 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (40.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) M, phil 69 (18.0) M,	Gender	
Age 141 (37.0) 18-25 191 (50.0) 36-45 23 (6.0) 46-55 15 (4.0) Martid Stuts 115 (4.0) Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, wildowed) 3 (1.0) Education 27 (7.0) Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (4.0) Higher secondary school 25 (67.0) Matric 12 (3.0) Matric 12 (3.0) Student school 25 (67.0) Unerngloved 25 (67.0)	Male	245 (64.0)
18-25 141 (37.0) 26-35 191 (50.0) 36-45 12 (3.0) 56-65 15 (4.0) Marital status Single 241 (63.0) Marited 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 27 (7.0) Washing 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (4.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 17 (19.0) M. sphil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7 (2.0) M. sphil 96 (18.0) Ph.D. 38 (10.0) Coccupational status 12 (3.0) Employed 25 (67.0) Unemployed 25 (67.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12 (3.0) 18 (200.0) 12	Female	137 (36.0)
26-35 191 (5.00) 36-45 (3.06) 12 (3.06) 46-55 (3.06) 15 (4.00) Martiel Statu 241 (6.30) Married 183 (36.00) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (1.00) Education 27 (7.00) Matric 15 (4.01) Higher secondary school 27 (7.00) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.00) M. phil 69 (18.00) Ph.D. 7 (2.00) M. phil 69 (18.00) Ph.D. 7 (2.00) M. phil 69 (18.00) Ph.D. 7 (2.00) M. phil 69 (18.00) W. phil 69 (18.00) W. phil 69 (18.00) W. phil 69 (18.00) Retired 25 (67.00) Student 12 (3.00) Student 28 (20.00) Ale,000 50,000 Student 30 (10.00) Ale,000 10 (20.00) Aleove House 27 (7.00) Reried 20 (20.00) </td <td>Age</td> <td></td>	Age	
36-45 23 (80) 46-55 15 (40) 56-61 15 (40) Marital status 241 (63.0) Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 241 (63.0) Education 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (40.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 73 (19.0) My, phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 70 (20) Occupational status 25 (67.0) Employed 25 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retiede 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (3.0) 18,000 130 (34.0) 18,000 130 (34.0) 19,000 90 (26.0) 30,001 to 100,00 90 (26.0) 300 to 100,000 90 (26.0) 300 to 100,000 90 (26.0) 300 to 100,000 90 (26.0) Bockers 10 (28.0) Posterior 7 (20.0) Pharmacist 10 (28.0) <	18-25	141 (37.0)
46-55 12 (3.0) 56-65 15 (4.0) Marital status 241 (63.0) Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (1.0) Education 7 (7.0) Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (4.0) Higher scondary school 27 (7.0) Machelor's degree 164 (43.0) M, phil 69 (18.0) Mb, phil 69 (20.0) Book pot 120 (20.0) </td <td>26-35</td> <td></td>	26-35	
56-65 15 (40) Marital status 241 (63.0) Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (10.0) Education 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (40.0) Higher secondary school 27 (70.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (430.0) Masters 37 (190.0) Masters 37 (190.0) M. phil 60 (10.0) Ph.D. 256 (67.0) M. phil 38 (100.0) Ph.D. 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (100.0) Retired 12 (30.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (30.0)	36-45	23 (6.0)
56-5 15 (4.0) Marital status 241 (6.3.0) Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (10.0) Education 27 (7.0) Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (4.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 3 (19.0) Masters 7 (19.0) Masters 3 (10.0) Ph.D. 7 (20.0) Occupational status 256 (67.0) Employed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retiede 12 (3.0) Student 15 (30.0) Info 12 (3.0) Student 15 (40.0) Info 13 (34.0) Student 15 (40.0) Info 13 (34.0) Student 15 (40.0) Info 13 (34.0) Student 15 (40.0) Info 15 (40.0) Info 15 (40.0)	46-55	12 (3.0)
Single 241 (63.0) Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (1.0) Education 27 (7.0) Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 164 (43.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) M. phil 69 (18.0) Np. D. 7 (20.0) Np. D. 7 (20.0) Np. D. 256 (67.0) Np. D. 38 (10.0) Np. D. 256 (67.0) Np. D. 38 (10.0) Np. D. 38 (10.0) Np. D. 38 (10.0) Retired 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Isono 12 (3.0) 18,000 12 (3.0) 18,000 12 (3.0) 18,000 10 (30.0) 19,000 to 50,000 12 (3.0) 19,000 to 50,000 29 (20.0) Retired 30 (30.0) Rural 7 (20.0)	56-65	
Married 138 (36.0) Others (divorced, widowed) 3 (10.0) Education 170.00 Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (40.0) Higher secondary school 27 (70.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 73 (19.0) Mp. phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7 (20.0) Cocupational status 25 (67.0) Employed 25 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (100.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (30.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (30.0) \$18,000 12 (30.0) \$18,000 12 (30.0) \$18,000 130 (34.0) \$0,000 to 100,000 32 (87.0) Residency 27 (20.0) Urban 33 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (20.0) IR Manager 7 (20.0) Pharmacis 15 (40.0) Fe, brink 34 (90.	Marital status	
Deter (divorced, widowed) 3 (1.0) Education 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (4.0) Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) M. phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 72 (19.0) Ph.D. 72 (20.0) Ph.D. 256 (67.0) 10 (20.0) 38 (10.0) Retired 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (3.0) Is (30.0) 130 (34.0) 5,000 to 100,000 130 (34.0) 5,000 to 100,000 20 (70.0) 8 (20.0) 27 (7.0) Residency 20 (20.0) Urban 32 (87.0) Rural 32 (87.0) Rural 27 (20.0) Rural 27 (20.0) Rural 27 (20.0) Rural 27 (20.0) Porfession 27 (20.0) Porfession 27 (20.0) Post August 27 (20.0)	Single	241 (63.0)
Education 27 (7.0) Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (40) Bigher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43) Masters 37 (190) Masters 69 (180) Ph.D. 0 (20) Occupations Temployed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (100.0) Retired 12 (30) Student 76 (200.0) Income 12 (30) Student 76 (200.0) Income 12 (30) Student 10 (30) <	Married	138 (36.0)
Education 27 (7.0) Primary 27 (7.0) Matric 15 (40) Bigher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43) Masters 37 (190) Masters 69 (180) Ph.D. 0 (20) Occupations Temployed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (100.0) Retired 12 (30) Student 76 (200.0) Income 12 (30) Student 76 (200.0) Income 12 (30) Student 10 (30) <	Others (divorced, widowed)	3 (1.0)
Matric 15 (40) Higher secondary school 27 (70) Bachelor's degree 164 (430) Masters 73 (190) M. phil 69 (180) Ph.D. 7 (20) Occupational status 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (100) Retired 12 (30) Student 76 (200) Ikoudent 126 (33.0) Student 126 (33.0) Ikoudent 126 (33.0) Student 9 (26.0) Ikoudent 27 (20.0)	Education	
Higher secondary school 27 (7.0) Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 73 (19.0) M. phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7 (2.0) Occupational status Employed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (3.0) Student 126 (33.0) 18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 5,000 130 (34.0) 18,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) 30,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) 30 to 100,000 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) Porfession 7 (2.0) Push Manager 7 (2.0) Psychologist 15 (40.0) Psychologist 15 (40.0) Psychologist 15 (40.0) Rural 15 (40.0) Marketing 15 (40.0) Rural 7 (2.0) M	Primary	27 (7.0)
Bachelor's degree 164 (43.0) Masters 73 (19.0) M. phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7 (2.0) Cocupational status Employed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 126 (30.0) \$18,000 126 (30.0) \$10,000 32 (7.0) \$2,000 30,000 to 100,000 90 (26.0) \$2,000 32 (7.0) \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$2,000	Matric	15 (4.0)
Masters 73 (19.0) M. phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7.0 (20.0) Cocupational status Employed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 72 (3.0) Income 126 (33.0) 18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency 7 (2.0) Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (20.0) Profession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Psychologist 15 (40.0) Teacher 34 (40.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (40.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Higher secondary school	27 (7.0)
M, phil 69 (18.0) Ph.D. 7 (20.0) Occupational status 256 (67.0) Employed 38 (100.0) 10 memployed 38 (100.0) Student 12 (3.0) Student 7 (20.0) Isono 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 126 (33.0) 19,000 to 10,000 30 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 90 (26.0) 300 to 100,000 32 (87.0) Residency 7 (20.0) Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (100.0) Rural 50 (100.0) Rural 7 (20.0) Ha Manager 7 (20.0) Psychologist 15 (40.0) Fuscher 34 (90.0) Auditor 7 (20.0) Marketing 15 (40.0) Engineer 7 (20.0) Nurse 7 (20.0) Supervisor	Bachelor's degree	164 (43.0)
Ph.D. 7 (2.0) Occupational status 256 (67.0) Employed 38 (10.0) Nemployed 38 (10.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (3.0) ≤18,000 120 (30.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency 32 (87.0) Urban 35 (87.0) Rural 50 (30.0) Porfession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7	Masters	73 (19.0)
Ph.D. 7 (2.0) Occupational status 256 (67.0) Employed 38 (10.0) Nemployed 38 (10.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (3.0) ≤18,000 120 (30.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency 32 (87.0) Urban 35 (87.0) Rural 50 (30.0) Porfession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7	M. phil	69 (18.0)
Employed 256 (67.0) Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 126 (33.0) 18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (70.0) Residency 7 (20.0) Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) Psctor 7 (2.0) Pschologist 15 (4.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)		7 (2.0)
Unemployed 38 (10.0) Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income ************************************	Occupational status	
Retired 12 (3.0) Student 76 (20.0) Income 12 (3.0) ≤18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 9 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Employed	256 (67.0)
Student 76 (20.0) Income 126 (33.0) ≤18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency Urban Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Porfession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Physchologist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Unemployed	38 (10.0)
Income ≤18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency Western Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 352 (87.0) Profession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Retired	12 (3.0)
≤18,000 126 (33.0) 18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency Total Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Poctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 107 (28.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Student	76 (20.0)
18,000 to 50,000 130 (34.0) 50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency T Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Income	
50,000 to 100,000 99 (26.0) above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession Doctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	<u><</u> 18,000	126 (33.0)
above 100,000 27 (7.0) Residency 332 (87.0) Urban 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	18,000 to 50,000	130 (34.0)
Residency 332 (87.0) Urban 350 (13.0) Forfession 7 (2.0) Doctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 107 (28.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	50,000 to 100,000	99 (26.0)
Urban 332 (87.0) Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) Doctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	above 100,000	27 (7.0)
Rural 50 (13.0) Profession 7 (2.0) Doctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Residency	
Profession Doctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Urban	332 (87.0)
Doctor 7 (2.0) HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Rural	50 (13.0)
HR Manager 7 (2.0) Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Profession	
Pharmacist 107 (28.0) Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Doctor	7 (2.0)
Psychologist 15 (4.0) Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	HR Manager	7 (2.0)
Teacher 34 (9.0) Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Pharmacist	107 (28.0)
Auditor 7 (2.0) Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Psychologist	15 (4.0)
Marketing 15 (4.0) Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Teacher	34 (9.0)
Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Auditor	7 (2.0)
Engineer 7 (2.0) Nurse 7 (2.0) Supervisor 7 (2.0)	Marketing	15 (4.0)
Supervisor 7 (2.0)		7 (2.0)
·	Nurse	7 (2.0)
·	Supervisor	7 (2.0)
	Graphic Designer	4 (1.0)

Indicator	n (%)
Consultant	4 (1.0)
Technician	15 (4.0)
Lawyer	4 (1.0)
Lab Assistant	15 (2.0)
Pathologist	4 (1.0)

11. 9	(/
Administration	4 (1.0)
Student	75 (20.0)
Academia professional	7 (2.0)
Lab technologist	7 (2.0)
Govt. Employee	4 (1.0)
Retired	11 (3.0)

Table 2: Screening	of eating	disorders	among comp	nunity of Pakistan

Table 1: Continue

Makeup artist

Businessman

Property dealer

Screening	n (%)
No eating disorder	317 (83.0)
Probability of eating disorder	65 (17.0)
Types of eating disorder	
Probability of anorexia nervosa	51 (78)
Probability of bulimia nervosa	5 (8)
Probability of binge eating disorder	5 (8)
Probability of both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa	4 (6)

Table 3: Assessment of eating patterns among community of Pakistan

	No	Yes
Indicator	n (%)	n (%)
Have there been instances in the last six months where you felt you consumed	241 (63.0)	141 (37.0)
what other people might consider an unusually big amount of food		
(for example, a quart of ice cream) considering the circumstances?		
During these episodes of overeating and loss of control did you eat much	264 (69.0)	118 (31.0)
more rapidly than normal?		
During the times when you ate an unusually large amount of food, did you	260 (68.0)	122 (32.0)
experience a loss of control? (feel you couldn't stop eating or control what		
or how much you were eating)		
During these episodes of overeating and loss of control did you eat until	244 (64.0)	138 (36.0)
you felt uncomfortably full?		
Did you eat a lot of food during these bouts of binge eating and losing	288 (75.5)	94 (24.5)
control even though you weren't physically hungry?		
Did you eat by yourself during these bouts of binge eating and losing	321 (84.0)	61 (16.0)
control because you were ashamed of how much you were consuming?		
Did you ever feel ashamed of your overeating, depressed, or incredibly	290 (76.0)	92 (24.0)
guilty after overeating during these bouts of losing control and overeating?		
Did you feel really disturbed about your excessive overeating or the subsequent	279 (73.0)	103 (27.0)
weight increase during these periods of overeating and losing control?		

Screening of eating disorders among community of Pakistan: Out of 382 respondents,17% (n = 65) people had the probability of having an eating disorder. The probability was highest for anorexia nervosa (78%, n = 51) followed by bulimia (8%, n = 5) and binge eating disorder (8%, n = 5). A detailed description is given (Table 2).

Assessment of eating patterns among community of Pakistan: The results showed that 37% (n = 141) of the people had unusually large amounts of the food in the last six months, 36.0% (n = 138) felt uncomfortably full during episodes of overeating, 32.0% (n = 122) experienced loss of control and 31.0% (n = 118) ate much more rapidly than normal (Table 3).

Frequency of feeling over eating episodes among community of Pakistan: The results showed that 7.5% (n = 29) respondents were eating a large amount of food 5 days per week in the last 6 months 3.0%

7 (2.0)

4 (1.0)

4 (1.0)

Table 4: Frequency of feeling over eating episodes among community

Indicator	Days	n (%)
How many days per week on average over the past 6 months have	0	134 (35.0)
you eaten an unusually large amount of food and experienced	1	50 (13.0)
a loss of control? Enter a number between 0 to 7	2	89 (23.0)
	3	44 (11.5)
	4	12 (03.0)
	5	29 (7.5)
	6	12 (3.0)
	7	12 (03.0)
How many times per week on average over the past 3 months have	0	130 (34.0)
an unusually large amount of food and experienced a loss of control?	1	148 (12.5)
Enter a number between 0 to 14 you	2	63 (16.5)
	3	27 (7.0)
	4	34 (9.0)
	5	33 (8.50)
	6	19 (05.0)
	7	6 (1.50)
	8	6 (1.50)
	9	8 (02.0)
	10	4 (01.0)
	11	4 (01.0)
	12	0
	13	0
	14	0

Table 5: Assessment of eating disorder belief questionnaire scores

Indicator	Mean	SD (±)
Negative self belief	12.3930	17.86152
Acceptance by others	16.5236	20.10461
Self-acceptance	32.0650	28.16164
Control over eating	17.4450	20.50721

SD: Standard Deviation

(n = 12) were having a large amount of food 6 days per week and 3% (n = 12) were having food 7 days per week in last 6 months. Similarly, 34% (n = 130) respondents experienced no loss of control in the last 3 months along with 1% (n = 4) experienced loss of control 11 times per week in the last 3 months (Table 4).

Assessment of beliefs of eating disorders among community of Pakistan: The mean score for the eating belief questionnaire were: Negative self-belief (12.39 ± 17.86), regarding acceptance by others (16.52 ± 20.10), belief regarding self-acceptance (32.06 ± 28.16), and belief about control over eating (17.44 ± 20.50) as shown in (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Eating disorders have remained the most neglected public health issue in developing countries. With the increased prevalence of obesity and eating disorders, the risks of associated comorbidities have also increased. These health conditions are not only responsible for impaired quality of life but also lead to high socio-economic costs. Lack of awareness and limited screening services are one of the major reasons for the increasing prevalence of eating disorders and reduced quality of life among such individuals. The results of the current study reported that the prevalence of eating disorders among the Pakistani population was moderate with anorexia nervosa being higher as compared to bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder. These results were consistent with a study done on Dutch respondents where the eating disorder diagnostic scale was considered to be a useful tool and reported a higher prevalence of anorexia nervosa⁵. Similar results were observed in a study conducted in USA where women had a higher prevalence of anorexia nervosa¹².

Asian J. Emerging Res., 5 (1): 35-41, 2023

The results of the study showed that few of the respondents consumed unusually large amounts of food in the last six months, felt uncomfortably full during episodes of overeating and experienced loss of control and ate much more rapidly than normal. Similar results were observed in a study conducted in Iran where respondents consumed a large amount of food due to their eating disorders¹³. Another study conducted in US reported binge eating episodes among a few women from minority communities¹⁴.

Eating disorder belief questionnaire was used to check the belief of respondents regarding eating disorders using subscales containing questions about negative self-belief, acceptance by others. self-acceptance and control over eating. The present study showed that patients with eating disorders had poor beliefs with higher scores. The results of the present study were consistent with a study conducted in the UK where participants had significantly higher scores than those with normal dieters and depressive patients¹⁵. Another study conducted in Canada also found that respondents had poor beliefs about eating disorders and body satisfaction which improved after treatment¹⁶.

Few limitations were observed during the conduction of the study. Financial constraints, lack of time and availability of limited literature on the topic were major limitations of the study. Along with this, self-administered questionnaires were used which increases the chances of respondent's related errors depending upon their social and behavioral attributes.

CONCLUSION

The result of the present study concluded that the prevalence of eating disorders was moderate with the risk of developing Anorexia Nervosa being the highest. The perceptions of the community about their weight and shape were negative and associated with societal taboos. Most of the respondents complained about having slight to moderate anxiety/depression along with pain/discomfort and had poor beliefs regarding eating disorders. An eating disorder is a social taboo which is least discussed in our society. Therefore, massive awareness campaigns must be launched for awareness of the community about the importance of early diagnosis and screening. Key opinion leaders and stake holders should contribute to effective screening methods and management of eating disorders in Pakistan.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Pakistan ranks 9th for obesity out of 188 countries in the world. The screening of eating disorders is the biggest social taboo that needs to be addressed in Pakistan. The results of the present study concluded that moderate prevalence of eating disorders and obesity in the Pakistani population. Perceptions of the community about their weight and shape were negative and associated with societal taboos. The awareness regarding eating disorders was found to be low among the community. Screening apps should be used for early diagnosis of disease in order to decrease the burden of disease among the community.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rieger, E., D.E. Wilfley, R.I. Stein, V. Marino and S.J. Crow, 2005. A comparison of quality of life in obese individuals with and without binge eating disorder. Int. J. Eating Disorders, 37: 234-240.
- 2. Pratt, H.D., E.L. Phillips, D.E. Greydanus and D.R. Patel, 2003. Eating disorders in the adolescent population: Future directions. J. Adolesc. Res., 18: 297-317.
- 3. Rome, E.S. and M.P.H.S. Ammerman, 2003. Medical complications of eating disorders: An update. J. Adolesc. Health, 33: 418-426.
- Rauof, M., H. Ebrahimi, M.A. Jafarabadi, A. Malek and J.B. Kheiroddin, 2015. Prevalence of eating disorders among adolescents in the Northwest of Iran. Iran. Red Crescent Med. J., Vol. 17. 10.5812/ircmj.19331.

Asian J. Emerging Res., 5 (1): 35-41, 2023

- 5. Memon, A.A., S.E.E.R. Adil, E.U. Siddiqui, S.S. Naeem, S.A. Ali and K. Mehmood, 2012. Eating disorders in medical students of Karachi, Pakistan-A cross-sectional study. BMC Res. Notes, Vol. 5. 10.1186/1756-0500-5-84.
- 6. Hudson, J.I., E. Hiripi, H.G. Pope and R.C. Kessler, 2007. The prevalence and correlates of eating disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. Biol. Psychiatry, 61: 348-358.
- 7. Muñoz, P., J.M. Quintana, C.L. Hayas, U. Aguirre, A. Padierna and M.A. González-Torres, 2009. Assessment of the impact of eating disorders on quality of life using the disease-specific, health-related quality of life for eating disorders (HeRQoLED) questionnaire. Qual. Life Res., 18: 1137-1146.
- 8. Striegel-Moore, R.H., N. Perrin, L. DeBar, G.T. Wilson, F. Rosselli and H.C. Kraemer, 2010. Screening for binge eating disorders using the patient health questionnaire in a community sample. Int. J. Eating Disord., 43: 337-343.
- 9. Walcott, D.D., H.D. Pratt and D.R. Patel, 2003. Adolescents and eating disorders:: Gender, racial, ethnic, sociocultural, and socioeconomic issues. J. Adolesc. Res., 18: 223-243.
- 10. Stice, E., C.F. Telch and S.L. Rizvi, 2000. Development and validation of the eating disorder diagnostic scale: A brief self-report measure of anorexia, bulimia, and binge-eating disorder. Psychol. Assess., 12: 123-131.
- 11. Cooper, M., E. Cohen-Tovée, G. Todd, A. Wells and M. Tovée, 1997. The eating disorder belief questionnaire: Preliminary development. Behav. Res. Ther., 35: 381-388.
- 12. Munn-Chernoff, M.A., L.R. Few, C.E. Matherne, J.H. Baker and V.Y. Men *et al.*, 2020. Eating disorders in a community-based sample of women with alcohol use disorder and nicotine dependence. Drug Alcohol Depend., Vol. 212. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.107981.
- 13. lorga, M., L. Pop, I.D. Muraru and B.G. Ioan, 2019. Screening the risk for eating disorders among medical dentistry students-A cross-sectional study. Rom. J. Oral Rehabil., 11: 101-110.
- 14. Shepherd, B.F., P.M. Brochu and C. Rodriguez-Seijas, 2022. A critical examination of disparities in eating disorder symptoms by sexual orientation among US adults in the NESARC-III. Int. J. Eating Disord., 55: 790-800.
- 15. Bradford, R. and D. Rutherford, 2001. The eating disorder belief questionnaire: In-patient adolescent scores. Clin. Child Psychol. Psychiatry, 6: 513-518.
- 16. Pullmer, R., S. Potter ansd M. Laliberte, 2023. Enhancing eating disorder recovery: Weight control beliefs predict body dissatisfaction in group cognitive behavioural therapy for body image. Eating Behav., Vol. 50. 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2023.101774.